



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

11/11

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 09/944,540 | 08/31/2001 | Gene Carman | 000003 | 3134 |
| 23696 | 7590 | 12/21/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| QUALCOMM, INC 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 | | | PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2132 | | |
| DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/944,540 | CARMAN, GENE |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor | 2132 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-7, and 15-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0032237 A1 to Miyake et al.(hereinafter Miyake) in view of U.S. Patent 6,079,019 to Fukuzumi.
4. Regarding Claim 1, 5 Miyake discloses the memory that stores a plurality of usernames and passwords associated with the predetermined network address see Par 0036 & Par 0037; an input/output device that enables data to be entered see Par 0015 & Par 0009. But does not disclose a controller within the memory card that controls access requests. However, Fukuzumi discloses the controller within memory card controlling access see Col 5 Ln 34-58. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include an

controller within a memory card in the invention of Miyake in order to be portable and independent as taught in Fukuzumi see Col 6 Ln 7-19. And further, Miyake discloses the an IC card being an embodiment of the invention see Par. 0068, and it is commonly known in the art the IC card generally have an processor(controller).

5. Regarding Claim 3, and 4, Miyake discloses the input/output being compatible with USB, PCMCIA see Par 0035 & Par 0033.
6. Regarding Claim 7, Miyake disclose the universal resource locator for a website see Par 0036.
7. Claims 2, 6, 8-14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0032237 A1 to Miyake et al.(hereinafter Miyake) in view of U.S. Patent 6,079,019 to Fukuzumi and further in view of U.S. Patent No 5,282,247 to McLean et al(hereinafter McLean).
8. Regarding Claim 2, Miyake does not disclose the use of flash memory. However, McLean discloses the use of flash memory see Col 1 Ln 65-Col 2 Ln 24. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include flash memory in the invention of Miyake in order to make memory non-volatile and erasable as taught in McLean see Col 1 Ln 65- Col 2 Ln 2.

9. Regarding Claim 6, Miyake discloses the memory access operation; but does not disclose the encryption and decryption process see Par 0047. However, McLean discloses the encryption and decryption process see Col 9 Ln 3-13. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include encryption and decryption in the invention of Miyake in order to further increase the security as taught in McLean see Col 9 Ln 11-12.

10. Regarding Claim 8, Miyake discloses the memory card storing usernames, password and associated predetermined URL see Par 0036 & Par 0037, but does not discloses the bus interface and an controller that responds to valid password and an encrypting and decrypting of information. However, Fukuzumi discloses the controller within memory card controlling access see Col 5 Ln 34-58. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include an controller within a memory card in the invention of Miyake in order to be portable and independent as taught in Fukuzumi see Col 6 Ln 7-19. And further, Miyake discloses the an IC card being an embodiment of the invention see Par. 0068, and it is commonly known in the art the IC card generally have an processor(controller).However, McLean discloses the bus interface for entering data see Col 4 Ln 26-36; an controller that is operable on valid password and encrypting and decrypting of data see Col 3 Ln 15-29 & Col 9 Ln 3-13. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of

the invention to include an bus interface and an controller operable based on valid password and encrypting and decrypting of data in the invention of Miyake in order to increase the level of security as taught in McLean see Col 9 Ln 11-13.

11. Regarding Claim 9, Miyake disclose the memory being an disk drive see Par. 0035.

12. Regarding Claim 10 and 11, Miyake does not disclose the access to a plurality of usernames and password when an valid password is entered, and further the controller interacting electronic device through the bus interface. However, McLean discloses the access to a plurality of usernames and password when an valid password is entered, and further the controller interacting electronic device through the bus interface see Col 3 Ln 15-29 & Fig. 1 item 108. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include access to a plurality of usernames and password when an valid password is entered, and further the controller interacting electronic device through the bus interface in the invention of Miyake in order to increase level of security as taught in McLean see Col 9 Ln 11-13.

13. Regarding Claim 12, Miyake discloses the USB compatible interface and the computer means for entering valid password see Par.0035- 0037.

14. Regarding Claim 13 and 14, Miyake does not disclose the personal digital assistants and portable telephone. However, McLean discloses the personal digital assistants and portable telephone see Col 2 Ln 25-42. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the personal digital assistants and portable telephone in the invention of Miyake in order to offer flexibility as taught in McLean see Col 2 Ln 25-26.

15. Regarding Claim 16, Miyake discloses the retrieving from the memory the username, password associated with the network address see Par. 0036-0037; but does not disclose the receiving password and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of password. However, McLean discloses the receiving of password and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of password see Col 3 Ln 15-29 & Abstract. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the receiving of password and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of password in the invention of Miyake in order to increase level of security as taught in McLean see Col 9 Ln 11-13.

16. Regarding Claim 17, Miyake discloses the network address being URL see Par. 0036-0037.

17. Regarding Claim 18, Miyake discloses the retrieving from the memory the username, password associated with the network address see Par. 0036-0037; but does not disclose the receiving access request and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of access request. However, McLean discloses the receiving of access request and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of access request see Col 3 Ln 15-29 & Abstract. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the receiving of access request and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of access request in the invention of Miyake in order to increase level of security as taught in McLean see Col 9 Ln 11-13.

18. Regarding Claim 21, Miyake discloses the supplying of usernames and passwords see Par. 0036-0037.

19. Regarding Claim 24, Miyake discloses the retrieving from the memory the username, password associated with the network address see Par. 0036-0037; but does not disclose the receiving access request and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of access request. However, McLean discloses the receiving of access request and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of access request see Col 3 Ln 15-29 & Abstract. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the receiving of access request and determining if valid and transmitting

based on the validity of access request in the invention of Miyake in order to increase level of security as taught in McLean see Col 9 Ln 11-13.

20. Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0032237 A1 to Miyake et al.(hereinafter Miyake) in view of U.S. Patent 6,079,019 to Fukuzumi and further in view of U.S. Patent No 6738901 B1 to Boyles et al.
21. Regarding Claim 15, Miyake does not disclose the electronic cash account information. However, Boyles discloses the electronic cash information see Col 9 Ln 17-23. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the electronic cash information in the invention of Miyake in order to make purchases on the internet as taught in Boyles see Col 9 Ln 18-21.
22. Claim 19-22, 22-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0032237 A1 to Miyake et al.(hereinafter Miyake) in view of in view of U.S. Patent 6,079,019 to Fukuzumi and further in view of U.S. Patent No 5,282,247 to McLean et al(hereinafter McLean) and further in view of U.S. Patent No 6738901 B1 to Boyles et al.

23. Regarding Claim 19-20, 22-23, Miyake does not disclose the access request being a digital fingerprint, scan of retina and usernames and password being encrypted and further decrypting if access request valid. However, Boyles discloses the access request being a digital fingerprint, scan of retina and usernames and password being encrypted and further decrypting if access request valid see Col 7 Ln 59-62 & Col 7 Ln 45-55. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the access request being a digital fingerprint, scan of retina and usernames and password being encrypted and further decrypting if access request valid in the invention of Miyake in order to provide security for card as taught in Boyles see Col 7 Ln 59-63.

24. Regarding Claim 24, Miyake discloses the retrieving from the memory the username, password associated with the network address see Par. 0036-0037; but does not disclose the receiving access request and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of access request. However, McLean discloses the receiving of access request and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of access request see Col 3 Ln 15-29 & Abstract. And further the payment data is disclosed by Boyles see Col 9 Ln 17-23. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the receiving of access request and determining if valid and transmitting based on the validity of access request in the invention of Miyake in order to increase level

of security as taught in McLean see Col 9 Ln 11-13. And further, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the payment information in the invention of Miyake in order to make purchases on the internet as taught in Boyles see Col 9 Ln 18-21.

25. Regarding Claim 25-29, Miyake does not disclose the scan of retina, fingerprint, password and monetary information being encrypted and decrypting the monetary information. However, Boyles discloses the access request being a digital fingerprint, scan of retina and data being encrypted and further decrypting if access request valid see Col 7 Ln 59-62 & Col 7 Ln 45-55. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the access request being a digital fingerprint, scan of retina and data being encrypted and further decrypting if access request valid in the invention of Miyake in order to provide security for card as taught in Boyles see Col 7 Ln 59-63.

26. Regarding Claim 30, Miyake does not disclose the transmitting only when payment information is less than value of account information. However, Boyles discloses the transmitting only when the value is less than account information see Col 8 Ln 17-23. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the electronic cash information in the

invention of Miyake in order to make purchases on the internet as taught in Boyles see Col 9 Ln 18-21.

Conclusion

27. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

29. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor
Examiner
Art Unit 2132

✓
12/15/2005

Gilberto Barrón Jr.
GILBERTO BARRÓN JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100